



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/701,804	01/05/2001	Plinio Meyer	FE-12 PCT	8417

7590
Friedrich Kueffner
317 Madison Avenue
Suite 910
New York, NY 10017

08/29/2002

EXAMINER

HESS, DANIEL A

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2876

DATE MAILED: 08/29/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/701,804

Applicant(s)

MEYER, PLINIO

Examiner

Daniel A Hess

Art Unit

2876

-- **Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☒ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). ____
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5. 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). PCT/CH00/00192 dated 4/3/02 is acknowledged. However, receipt of Swiss 0640/99 dated 4/3/1999 is not acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in *Ex parte Wu*, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of *Ex parte Steigewald*, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); *Ex parte Hall*, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and *Ex parte Hasche*, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949).

Art Unit: 2876

In the present instance, claims 2 and 3 recites (i.e. in the context of 'and/or') the broad recitation 'or', and the claim also recites 'and' which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation. In this case, for the sake of examination on the merits, the broader statement is used. Also, claim 13 recites the broad recitation 'franking marking vending machine', and the claim also recites 'postage stamp vending machine' which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation. For the sake of examination, the broader statement is used, although the art covers the narrower limitation as well.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in-

(1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or

(2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

5. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Basington et al. (EP 0 893 787 A2).

Basington teaches all of the elements and means as recited in claims 1-14 drawn to a method of vending customized franking markings such as postage stamps. For example Basington teaches the following:

Art Unit: 2876

Re claims 1 and 13: A vending machine prints personalized stamps (column 3, lines 19-21). Note that postage stamps are a type of franking markings. The vending machine shown in figure 1 is clearly a computer; it has input, output and also processing capability. This processing capability is evidenced by its '*digitally* creating' an image (column 3, lines 40). Pictures are taken and displayed (column 4, line 58 to column 5, line 1). Labels are then printed (column 5, lines 5-11); this constitutes 'support material.'

Re claims 2, 3 and 11: The user can select from (column 4, line 58 to column 5, line 5) various pictures on a screen, making a choice on a keyboard.

Re claim 4: There is a 'vending machine' (column 2, lines 10-20); this implies self-service.

Re claim 5: The user determines the value of the postage stamps (column 7, lines 36-42).

Re claim 6: A digital camera (column 3, lines 35-37) is used.

Re claim 7: Printing is triggered by a key selection, namely the selection of a particular image (column 5, lines 1-10).

Re claims 8 and 9: As Basington notes (column 4, lines 40-45), a credit check is performed in order for the transaction to proceed. This is amounts to checking for 'sufficient credit.'

Re claim 10: Note that (see Figure 1) the aperture 9 (column 3, lines 35-37) is built into the computer and must connect to it because the image is digital and is displayed on the screen.

Re claim 12: In addition to credit, there is also capability for 'paper money and coin accounting' (column 3, lines 48-49).

Re claim 14: Basington envisions (column 6, lines 34-36) postage metering.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. De Passille shows (see summary) customized production of stamps where the customizations may include text. Brewen et al. (US 4,872,706), Kara (US 5,717,597), Kara (US 6,208,980), Kaplan (US 5,873,605) and Mikhail (US 4,715,622) each show the creation of customized stamps. Basington et al. (US 5,923,406) is the US patent covering the primary reference in this office action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel A Hess whose telephone number is (703) 305-3841. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM - 5:00 PM M-F.

8. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G Lee can be reached on (703) 305-3503. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

9. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.



DH
August 15, 2002

Daniel A Hess
Examiner
Art Unit 2876



THIEN M. LE
PRIMARY EXAMINER